FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       Image: Construction (Subscription (Subscript	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0550033 DATE: <u>3/2/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:50 a.m.</u> DEPART: <u>10:00 a.m.</u>
FACILITY NAME: AVON PARK BATCH PLANT	
FACILITY LOCATION: 103 CR 17A	
AVON PARK 33825	
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:</b> DANIEL BEATTY	<b>PHONE:</b> (239)267-4275
CONTACT NAME: Daniel Beatty	PHONE:
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: / (effective date) (end date)
<ol> <li>Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers, controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible en 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust colle at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loa unless such rate is unachievable in practice?</li> <li>Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) ope to this question is "Yes", then continue on to quest skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during b) During the visible emissions test, was the batch</li> </ol>	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter , and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment missions to 5 percent opacity? XYes No ctor exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted iding rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, XYes No eration controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emission	ation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate as tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector ative of the normal batching rate and duration? XYes No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)		
<ul> <li><u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes ∑No</li> </ul>		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Set No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)		
<ol> <li>Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No</li> </ol>		

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹	appropriate box(es))	

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processiplants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i> ), <i>below.</i> )	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
	<ul> <li>calendar year?</li> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?</li> <li>d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?</li> </ul>	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

## PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
<ul> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?</li></ul>	- 🗌 Yes	🖾 No
<ul> <li>d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or</li> </ul>		
local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

Sherrill Culliver

1

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

3/2/07

Date of Inspection

3/2/08

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Facility has another silo from an exsisting concrete batch plant. According to Beatty, an application has been submitted.